

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/600,492	06/20/2003	Richard T. Nell	3290-00016	6768
26753	7590 02/23/2005		EXAMINER	
•	CEALES, STARKE & S	THOMAS, ALEXANDER S		
100 EAST WISCONSIN AVENUE, SUITE 1100 MILWAUKEE, WI 53202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1772	

DATE MAILED: 02/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Antique Comment	10/600,492	NELL, RICHARD T.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Alexander Thomas	1772				
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) da - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a recation. ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty or period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' by statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <u>18 January 2005</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12 and 15-21</u> is/are pending ir	Claim(s) <u>12 and 15-21</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12 and 15</u> is/are allowed.	Claim(s) <u>12 and 15</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16,17,20 and 21</u> is/are rejecte	Claim(s) <u>16,17,20 and 21</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>18 and 19</u> is/are objected to.	Claim(s) 18 and 19 is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.					
	·					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document of the priority document of the priority document of the certified copies of the application from the International	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in A he priority documents have been	pplication No				
* See the attached detailed Office action fo	or a list of the certified copies not	received.				
•••						
Attachment(s)	4) 🗖 latan ja e	ummary (PTO-413)				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- 		s)/Mail Date				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Application/Control Number: 10/600,492

Art Unit: 1772

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 16, 17, 20 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen in view of Nell ('800). The primary reference discloses the invention substantially as claimed; see Figure 2 and column 2, line 34 through column 3, line 25. However, it does not disclose a one-piece hinge on the flange. The secondary reference discloses the structural equivalence of one and two-piece flanges; see Figures 5 and 7. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to replace the two-piece hinge with a one-piece hinge in the article of the primary reference in view of the teachings in the secondary reference of the structural equivalence of one and two-piece hinges.
- 3. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Husson in view of Nell ('800). The primary reference discloses the invention substantially as claimed; see Figure 1 and column 2, line 16-23. However, it does not disclose a one-piece hinge on the flange. The secondary reference discloses the structural equivalence of one and two-piece flanges; see Figures 5 and 7. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to replace the two-piece hinge with a one-piece

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/600,492

Art Unit: 1772

hinge in the article of the primary reference in view of the teachings in the secondary reference of the structural equivalence of one and two-piece hinges.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 18 and 19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 5. Claims 12 and 15 are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander Thomas whose telephone number is 571-272-1502. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-4:00 M-THUR.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ALEXANDER S. THOMAS PRIMARY EXAMINER

blequedy & Thouse